

KOCHS' AFP BOOSTED TOOMEY WITH DISHONEST MAILING CAMPAIGN

AFP Sent Out 600,000 Mail Ads Attacking McGinty On Alternative Energy

AFP Sent 600,000 Mail Ads Attacking Katie McGinty Landmark Alternative Energy Law And Federal Clean-Energy Proposals As Needlessly Expensive, Echoing An Earlier Ad By The U.S. Chamber of Commerce. According to the Morning Call, “The latest mailers, set to start arriving today from Americans for Prosperity’s Pennsylvania chapter, attack Democrat Katie McGinty over energy policies, including the landmark alternative energy law she worked on as Department of Environmental Protection secretary. The conservative group's critique portrays that policy and federal clean-energy proposals as needlessly expensive, hitting McGinty on the economic issues where she’s focused much of her campaign. The U.S. Chamber of Commerce also focused on the cost of energy policies in an unusual ad earlier this summer. [...] The ad is part of the group's broader effort to oppose her campaign for Senate, primarily through speaking directly with voters. Volunteers have knocked on 38,000 doors statewide and placed more than 800,000 phone calls, according to organizers. The 600,000 mailers will be delivered both to voters already contacted by AFP and others not yet reached by volunteers.” [Morning Call, [8/29/16](#)]

- **AFP-PA Director Beth Anne Mumford: “Katie McGinty Talks A Big Game About Her Working Family Roots, But Her Support For Sweeping Energy Regulations Do Not Help Pennsylvania's Working Families Keep Their Hard-Earned Money.”** According to the Morning Call, “Katie McGinty talks a big game about her working family roots, but her support for sweeping energy regulations do not help Pennsylvania's working families keep their hard-earned money,” said AFP-Pennsylvania state director Beth Anne Mumford in a statement.” [Morning Call, [8/29/16](#)]

AFP Reported Paying Over \$50,000 On Postage For “Cost Of Living” Mail Ad In Opposition To Kathleen McGinty. According to a 48 Hour Independent Expenditure Report to the FEC, Americans for Prosperity reported paying the United States Postal Service \$51,401.17 on 8/24/2016 for postage for mailers on the “cost of living” mail ad in opposition to Pennsylvania senate candidate Kathleen McGinty. [48 Hour Independent Expenditure Report – FEC, [8/26/16](#)]

AFP Reported Paying Innovative Advertising Over \$30,000 For Mail Advertisements On “Cost Of Living” In Opposition To Kathleen McGinty. According to a 48 Hour Independent Expenditure Report to the FEC, Americans for Prosperity reported paying Innovative Advertising \$31,652.00 on 8/24/2016 for mailers on “cost of living” in opposition to Pennsylvania senate candidate Kathleen McGinty. [48 Hour Independent Expenditure Report – FEC, [8/26/16](#)]

AFP Cited Two Koch Affiliated Sources In Their Mailer Attacking Katie McGinty

BEACON HILL INSTITUTE

AFP Cited The Beacon Hill Institute To Claim That “Electricity Is Up 39% Because Of Policies Like McGinty’s

AFP Cited The Beacon Hill Institute To Claim That “Electricity Is Up 39% Because Of Policies Like McGinty’s. [Americans for Prosperity, Accessed [8/29/16](#); Beacon Hill Institute, [12/12](#)]

The Beacon Hill Institute Received At Least \$140,250 From Koch Affiliated Groups

Between 2004 And 2009, The Beacon Hill Institute Received At Least \$140,250 From Donors Capital Fund And DonorsTrust. According to Conservative Transparency, between 2004 and 2009 the Beacon Hill Institute received at least \$140,250 from Donors Capital Fund and DonorsTrust. This information is further detailed in the following table:

Year	Donor	Recipient	Contribution
2009	Donors Capital Fund	Beacon Hill Institute	\$28,500

2008	Donors Capital Fund	Beacon Hill Institute	\$58,000
2007	Donors Capital Fund	Beacon Hill Institute	\$53,500
2004	Donors Trust	Beacon Hill Institute	\$250
Total			\$140,250

[Conservative Transparency, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

The Beacon Hill Report Said That Pennsylvania’s Electricity Prices Would Rise By 11.9% Because Of The Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard (AEPS) Mandates

Beacon Hill Institute Report: “Pennsylvania’s Electricity Prices Will Rise By 11.9 Percent By 2021, Due To The Current AEPS Law” [Beacon Hill Institute, [12/12](#)]

Beacon Hill Used Their State Tax Analysis Modeling Program (STAMP) To Estimate The Economic Effects Of AEPS

The Study Used Beacon Hill’s State Tax Analysis Modeling Program (STAMP) To Estimate The Economic Effects Of Pennsylvania Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard (AEPS) Mandates. According to the Beacon Hill Institute, “The Beacon Hill Institute has applied its STAMP® (State Tax Analysis Modeling Program) to estimate the economic effects of these AEPS mandates. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), a division of the Department of Energy, provides optimistic estimates of renewable electricity costs and capacity factors. This study bases our estimates on EIA projections. However, we also provide three estimates of the cost of Pennsylvania’s AEPS mandates — low, average and high — using different cost and capacity factor estimates for electricity-generating technologies from other academic literature.” [Beacon Hill Institute, [12/12](#)]

STAMP Was A Flawed Tax Analysis Model

Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP Suffers From A Number Of Serious Methodological Problems And Should Not Be Relied Upon By Anybody Seeking To Understand The Economic Impacts Of State Tax Policies.”

Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP Suffers From A Number Of Serious Methodological Problems And Should Not Be Relied Upon By Anybody Seeking To Understand The Economic Impacts Of State Tax Policies.” According to the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, “The Beacon Hill Institute(BHI),a free-market think tank located at Suffolk University, frequently uses its State Tax Analysis Modeling Program (STAMP)to perform analyses purporting to show that lowering taxes, or not raising them, will benefit state economies. But STAMP suffers from a number of serious methodological problems and should not be relied upon by anybody seeking to understand the economic impacts of state tax policies.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [5/14](#)]

- **Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP Is Grounded In A Concept Of Perfect Economic Efficiency That Bears Little Resemblance To Reality.”** According to the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, “As a ‘computable general equilibrium’(CGE) model, STAMP is grounded in a concept of perfect Economic efficiency that bears little resemblance to reality. Moreover, the thousands of linkages between economic sectors built into STAMP are in many cases not well-studied and not subject to statistical testing.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [5/14](#)]
- **Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP’s Unrealistic Depiction Of The Public Sector Causes It To Conclude That Public Investments Like Education And Infrastructure Are Of Relatively Little Value To State Economies In Both The Short- And Long-Term.”** According to the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, “STAMP’s unrealistic depiction of the public sector causes it to conclude that public investments like education and infrastructure are of relatively little value to state economies in both the short- and long-term. Government is modeled as a simplistic pass-through’ device that distributes tax dollars to households and discourages them from working in the process. This construction fits neatly with BHI’s stated mission to promote limited government,’ but it has also caused STAMP to produce estimates far out of line with more mainstream economic models.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [5/14](#)]

Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP’S Findings Have Been Contradicted By Academic Researchers, State Revenue Offices, And The Actual Track Record Of States That Have Followed BHI’s Recommended Low-Tax Path.”

Institute On Taxation & Economic Policy: “STAMP Methodological Shortcomings Are Reflected In Its Unreliable Results. STAMP’S Findings Have Been Contradicted By Academic Researchers, State Revenue Offices, And The Actual Track Record Of States That Have Followed BHI’s Recommended Low-Tax Path.” According to the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, “STAMP methodological shortcomings are reflected in its unreliable results. STAMP’S findings have been contradicted by academic researchers, state revenue offices, and the actual track record of states that have followed BI-Ifs recommended low-tax path.” [Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, [5/14](#)]

DR. SHAWN RITENOUR

AFP Cited An Op-Ed By Dr. Shawn Ritenour To Support The Claim That “Wages Are Down” And That “Income Levels Are Down But Energy Keeps Going Up.”

AFP Cited An Op-Ed By Dr. Shawn Ritenour To Support The Claim That “Wages Are Down” And That “Income Levels Are Down But Energy Keeps Going Up.” [Americans for Prosperity, Accessed [8/29/16](#); Shawn Ritenour – PennLive, [6/4/15](#)]

The Op-Ed Advocated Ending The EPA’s Clean Power Plan To “Halt Its Draconian War Against The Poor”

Ritenour: “The Industry Regulations Pushed By Obama’s Environmental Protection Agency, Conflict With His Stated Budget Intentions By Foisting Higher Household Energy Costs That Fall Disproportionately On The Poorest Among Us.” According to an op-ed by Grove City College economics professor Shawn Ritenour for PennLive, “Alas, what his budget proposal pledged to giveth, his energy policy taketh away. The industry regulations pushed by Obama’s Environmental Protection Agency, conflict with his stated budget intentions by foisting higher household energy costs that fall disproportionately on the poorest among us. In a free market, entrepreneurs serve society tremendously by coordinating the entire market division of labor, directing scarce resources toward their most highly valued use as determined by members of society.” [Shawn Ritenour – PennLive, [6/4/15](#)]

Ritenour: To Reduce Poverty, President Obama “Should Junk The So-Called Clean Power Plan And Halt Its Draconian War Against The Poor.” According to an op-ed by Grove City College economics professor Shawn Ritenour for PennLive, “The President recently participated in a round-table discussion considering how to reduce poverty in the United States. This surely is a laudable goal. If he is serious in this endeavor, one of the best, most direct and efficacious acts the President can take is to call off the dogs at the EPA. He should junk the so-called Clean Power Plan and halt its draconian war against the poor.” [Shawn Ritenour – PennLive, [6/4/15](#)]

The Clean Power Plan Had Significant Public Health And Cost Savings Benefits

The Clean Power Plan “Will Lead To Climate And Health Benefits Worth An Estimated \$55 Billion To \$93 Billion Per Year In 2030.” According to an EPA Fact Sheet, “These reductions will lead to climate and health benefits worth an estimated \$55 billion to \$93 billion per year in 2030. This includes avoiding 2,700 to 6,600 premature deaths and 140,000 to 150,000 asthma attacks in children” [EPA Fact Sheet, Accessed [10/5/15](#)]

The Clean Power Plan Will Save Americans Over \$80 Annually On Utility Bills By 2030. According to an EPA Fact Sheet, “In addition to helping make our electric system cleaner, the Clean Power Plan will make electricity more affordable in the long run. EPA’s analysis of impacts on electricity bills shows that Americans are expected to save over \$80 annually on their utility bills by 2030.” [EPA Fact Sheet, Accessed [10/5/15](#)]

The Clean Power Plan Will Prevent 3,600 Premature Deaths, 1,700 Heart Attacks, 90,000 Asthma Attacks, And 300,000 Missed Workdays And Schooldays Per Year. According to an EPA Fact Sheet, “The Clean Power Plan will significantly improve health by avoiding each year: □ 3,600 premature deaths □ 1,700 heart attacks □ 90,000 asthma attacks □ 300,000 missed workdays and schooldays” [EPA Fact Sheet, Accessed [10/5/15](#)]

Dr. Shawn Ritenour Was A Professor Of Economics At Grove City College

Dr. Shawn Ritenour Was A Professor Of Economics At Grove City College. [Acton University, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

Grove City College's Department Of Economics Had A Koch Fellowship Program

Grove City College's Department Of Economics Had A Koch Fellowship Program Sponsored By The Center Of Vision And Values. [Grove City College, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

Between 2006 And 2014, The Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation Gave Grove City College At Least \$306,249

Between 2006 And 2014, The Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation Gave Grove City College At Least \$306,249. According to Conservative Transparency, between 2006 and 2014 the Charles G. Koch Charitable foundation gave Grove City College at least \$306,249. This information is further detailed in the following table:

Year	Donor	Recipient	Contribution
2014	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$36,500
2013	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$34,000
2012	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$32,800
2011	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$38,100
2010	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$32,214
2009	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$37,335
2008	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$46,600
2007	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$28,700
2006	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Grove City College	\$20,000
Total			\$306,249

[Conservative Transparency, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

Dr. Shawn Ritenour's Work Was Published By The Mises Institute

Dr. Shawn Ritenour's Work Was Published By The Mises Institute. [The Mises Institute, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

DonorsTrust Gave At Least \$37,100 To The Ludwig Von Mises Institute

Between 2004 And 2014, DonorsTrust Gave At Least \$37,100 To The Ludwig Von Mises Institute. According to Conservative Transparency, between 2004 and 2014 DonorsTrust gave at least \$37,100 to the Ludwig von Mises Institute. This information is further detailed in the following table:

Year	Donor	Recipient	Contribution
2014	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$1,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$500
2013	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$1,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$1,000
2010	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$2,500
2008	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$2,500
2007	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$5,000
2006	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$3,100
2005	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$3,000
2004	DonorsTrust	Ludwig von Mises Institute	\$2,500

Total	\$37,100
--------------	-----------------

Dr. Shawn Ritenour Lectured At The Acton Institute

Dr. Shawn Ritenour Lectured At The Acton Institute. [Shawn Ritenour – LinkedIn, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

Koch Connected Groups Gave Over \$5.8 Million To The Acton Institute For The Study Of Religion And Liberty

Between 1991 And 2014, Koch Connected Groups Gave At Least \$5,866,959 To The Acton Institute For The Study Of Religion And Liberty. According to Conservative Transparency, between 1991 and 2014 Koch connected groups gave at least \$5,866,959 to the Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty. This information is further detailed in the following table:

Year	Donor	Recipient	Contribution
2014	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250,000
2014	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$850,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$500
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$10,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$2,700
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$500
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2014	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$75,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$25,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$7,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$2,700
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$500
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$2,500
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$500
2013	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2013	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$50,000
2013	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$30,000
2013	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$175,000
2013	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$150,000
2013	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$370,000
2012	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$10,000
2012	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250,000
2012	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$54,000
2012	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$110,000

2009	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$501,646
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$250
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$300
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$400
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$500
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,700
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$2,500
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$2,500
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2009	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2008	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$678,712
2008	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,500
2008	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,500
2008	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$5,000
2008	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$18,400
2007	Donors Capital Fund	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$224,854
2007	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$22,450
2006	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$25,000
2006	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$23,500
2005	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$25,000
2005	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$14,500
2004	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$60,000
2004	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$12,250
2003	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$25,000
2002	DonorsTrust	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$8,850
2001	Claude R. Lambe Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$10,000
2000	Claude R. Lambe Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$10,000
1998	Claude R. Lambe Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$40,000
1996	Claude R. Lambe Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$30,000
1993	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,500
1992	Claude R. Lambe Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$25,000
1991	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$10,000
1991	Charles G. Koch Charitable Foundation	Acton Institute for the Study of Religion and Liberty	\$1,000
Total			\$5,866,959

[Conservative Transparency, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

AFP Cited Industry Affiliated Energy Ventures Analysis In Their Mailer Attacking Katie McGinty

AFP CITED THE “ENERGY VENTURES ANALYSIS” TO CLAIM THAT “ENERGY MANDATES WILL INCREASE THE AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD UTILITY BILLS BY \$1,000 PER YEAR

AFP Cited The “Energy Ventures Analysis” To Claim That “Energy Mandates Will Increase The Average Household Utility Bills By \$1,000 Per Year” Under The Line “Vote Against Katie McGinty, We Can’t Afford Her Failed Policies.” [Americans for Prosperity, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

ENERGY & POLICY INSTITUTE’S MATT KASPER: ENERGY VENTURES ANALYSIS DID “SUBSTANTIAL WORK FOR UTILITIES, FOSSIL FUEL COMPANIES AND TRADE ASSOCIATIONS.”

Energy & Policy Institute’s Matt Kasper: Energy Ventures Analysis Did “Substantial Work For Utilities, Fossil Fuel Companies And Trade Associations.” According to Midwest Energy News, “However, Matt Kasper at the Energy & Policy Institute said potential conflicts exist and should have been noted. [...] In addition, EVA has done substantial work for utilities, fossil fuel companies and trade associations, Kasper added.” [Midwest Energy News, [3/10/15](#)]

Energy Ventures Analysis On The EPA’s Carbon Plan And Power Plant Regulations Was Commissioned By Peabody Energy. According to an Energy Ventures Analysis press release, “The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) proposed carbon rule is the latest in a series of regulations alongside rising natural gas prices that will increase the cost of electricity and natural gas by nearly \$300 billion in 2020 compared with 2012, according to a study released today by Energy Ventures Analysis, Inc. The study, “Energy Market Impacts of Recent Federal Regulations on the Electric Power Sector,” demonstrates the heavy financial burden the EPA’s collection of regulations will force on American families, businesses and manufacturers through soaring energy costs. [...] The study was commissioned by Peabody Energy. Summaries of individual state impacts can be viewed here.” [Energy Ventures Analysis Press Release, [11/20/14](#)]

- **Peabody Energy Mined And Marketed Coal And Traded Emission Allowances.** According to Bloomberg, “Peabody Energy Corporation mines and markets low sulfur coal, primarily for use by electric utilities. The Company also trades coal and emission allowances.” [Bloomberg, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

An Energy Ventures Analysis Report Entitled “EPA Clean Power Plan: Costs And Impacts On U.S. Energy Markets” Was Prepared For The National Mining Association. [Energy Ventures Analysis, [10/17/14](#)]

CLEARSTACK LLC

The Principles At Energy Ventures Analysis Had Ownership Interests In ClearStack LLC

The Principles At Energy Ventures Analysis Had Ownership Interests In ClearStack LLC. According to Midwest Energy News, “However, Matt Kasper at the Energy & Policy Institute said potential conflicts exist and should have been noted. For starters, Kasper produced a document stating that some principals at EVA have an ownership interest in another company, Clearstack LLC. EVA principal Thomas Hewson, Jr. is also Clearstack’s Chief Executive Officer.” [Midwest Energy News, [3/10/15](#)]

ClearStack Power LLC Advertised Clean Coal Technology That Allowed The Use Of Coal Under Air Pollution Regulations

ClearStack Power LLC Advertised Clean Coal Technology That Allowed The Use Of Coal Under Air Pollution Regulations. According to ClearStack Power, “ClearStack Power’s innovative, clean coal Pre-Combustion Technology allows coal-fired electric utilities to continue to utilize our nations’ most abundant resource while remaining compliant with current and future air pollution regulations, at a fraction of the price of traditional methods.” [ClearStack Power LLC, Accessed [8/29/16](#)]

A 2013 Press Release Indicated Clearstack’s Other Owners Included Coal And Natural Gas Interests

A 2013 Press Release Indicated ClearStack's Other Owners Included Coal And Natural Gas Interests. According to Midwest Energy News, "That 2013 press release indicated that Clearstack's other owners include Bowie Resources and Sterling Energy. Both companies are involved in the coal and natural gas industries either directly or through related companies and joint ventures." [Midwest Energy News, [3/10/15](#)]

Toomey Pushes The Koch Energy Agenda

DENIED CLIMATE CHANGE

2015: Toomey Denied That Climate Change Is "Significantly" Caused By Human Activity. According to the Pittsburgh Tribune Review, "The Senate on Jan. 21 failed, 50-49, to reach 60 votes for adopting a Democrat measure stating that climate change is 'real' and is 'significantly' caused by human activity. A yes vote was to adopt the strongest amendment offered to put the Senate on record on climate change." Toomey voted no. [Pittsburgh Tribune Review, 1/25/15]

2010: Toomey Said That There Was "Much Debate In The Scientific Community As The Precise Sources Of Global Warming." According to the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, "The candidates also differ on the core issue of global warming, and whether climate legislation would do anything to alleviate it. Unlike some conservative figures, Mr. Toomey acknowledges that global warming exists but he is an agnostic on the crucial question of whether it is a product of human activity. 'There's no question that the Earth's surface temperature has increased,' he said in a statement. 'There is much debate in the scientific community as to the precise sources of global warming. There is no doubt that the proposed cap-and-trade 'solution' would do nothing to stop global warming but would be devastating to jobs and the economy in Pennsylvania.'" [Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, 6/14/10]

OPPOSED THE CLEAN POWER PLAN

In 2015, Toomey Campaign Spokesperson Steve Kelly Called The Clean Power Plan A "Radical Proposal" That Would Have "Minimal Environmental Impact." According to The Morning Call, "The National Resource Defense Council Action Fund and Environmental [sic] Defense Fund Action are spending \$1 million on television and digital ads calling on Toomey to support the Clean Power Plan. [...] 'Sen. Toomey supports clean air -- what he doesn't support is a radical proposal that would greatly jeopardize Pennsylvania's 36,000 coal jobs, and increase the price of electricity in Pennsylvania by up to 14 percent, all for minimal environmental impact,' Kelly said." [Morning Call, [9/1/15](#)]

OPPOSED RENEWABLE ENERGY

In 2015, Toomey Campaign Spokesperson Steve Kelly Called The Clean Power Plan A "Radical Proposal" That Would Have "Minimal Environmental Impact." According to The Morning Call, "The National Resource Defense Council Action Fund and Environmental [sic] Defense Fund Action are spending \$1 million on television and digital ads calling on Toomey to support the Clean Power Plan. [...] 'Sen. Toomey supports clean air -- what he doesn't support is a radical proposal that would greatly jeopardize Pennsylvania's 36,000 coal jobs, and increase the price of electricity in Pennsylvania by up to 14 percent, all for minimal environmental impact,' Kelly said." [Morning Call, [9/1/15](#)]

In 2013, Toomey Sponsored Legislation To Repeal The Renewable Fuel Standard. According to a press release by the office of Sen. Pat Toomey, "U.S. Senator Pat Toomey (R-Pa.) issued the following statement regarding the recent EPA decision to raise biofuel usage requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard. [...] 'Congress must act to stop this absurd mandate from harming our economy, and I am proud to cosponsor bipartisan legislation to repeal the RFS in its entirety.'" [Office of Senator Pat Toomey, [8/6/13](#)]

2004: Toomey Voted To Implement A Comprehensive National Policy For Energy Production That Included Tax Breaks For Oil And Gas, Clean Coal And Alternatively Fueled Cars. In June 2004, Toomey voted for a bill that would have, according to Congressional Quarterly, "implement[ed] a comprehensive national policy for energy conservation, research and development. It would [have] authorize[d] \$25.7 billion in tax breaks over 10 years, including \$11.9 billion to encourage oil and gas production, \$2.5 billion for 'clean coal' programs, \$2.2 billion in incentives for alternative motor vehicles, and \$1.8 billion for the electric power industry and other businesses. [...] Makers of the gasoline additive MTBE would be protected from liability, but would have [had] to cease production of the additive by 2015. The bill would [have] also impose[d] reliability standards for electricity transmission networks and ease restrictions on utility ownership and mergers." The House passed the

bill by a vote of 244 to 178; however, the Senate took no substantive action on the measure. [House Vote 241, [6/15/04](#); Congressional Quarterly, [6/15/04](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 4503](#)]

PROTECTED OIL PROFITS

2012: Toomey Voted Against Repealing \$24 Billion In Tax Breaks For The Five Largest Oil Companies To Fund Extension Of Alternative Energy Tax Credits. In March 2012, Toomey effectively voted against a bill that would, according to the Evansville Courier and Press, “end several tax breaks worth \$24 billion over ten years for the five largest oil companies: BP, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, Exxon Mobil and Shell. More than half of the savings would be allocated to deficit reduction, with the remaining \$11 billion used for tax credits to promote natural gas and propane as vehicle fuels, make U.S. homes more energy-efficient and spur the production of renewable and alternative fuels to reduce U.S. consumption of fossil fuels.” The vote was a motion to end debate on the bill, which failed 51 to 47. [Senate Vote 63, [3/29/12](#); Evansville Courier and Press, [4/1/12](#)]

2011: Toomey Voted Against Closing Tax Loopholes Used By Five Largest Oil Companies. In May 2011, Toomey voted against a bill that would, according to the Associated Press, “repeal about \$2 billion a year in tax breaks for the five biggest oil companies, a Democratic response to \$4-a-gallon gasoline.” The vote was on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill and needed 60 votes to pass; the motion was defeated by a vote of 52 to 48. [Senate Vote 72, [5/17/11](#); Associated Press via Desert News, [5/17/11](#)]